

Magazine of the British National Party

Identity

£1.95

March 2004

Issue 42

A photograph of two men in suits shaking hands. The man on the left is Simon Darby, and the man on the right is Bruno Gollnisch. They are standing in front of several flags, including the Union Jack and the European Union flag.

Hands Across The Sea

Simon Darby meets Bruno Gollnisch

See Centre Page Insert



March's News

■ Election fraud is easy

One of the practices that will be employed in the June elections to minimise the BNP vote was highlighted by *Daily Mail* journalist Peter Allen on February 7th.

In a matter of hours, using a fake ID of Gus Troobev - an anagram of Bogus Voter - he registered to vote in 31 marginal constituencies spread across Britain.

A week later the media featured the case of the farmer and his wife who registered two of their cows on the electoral list, Daisy and Lilly Bull, as a protest against their local council's bureaucracy in refusing to believe that nobody lived in one of their farm buildings.

All other countries demand far tighter identity checks before allowing voters to register. New Labour's not over-interested because most fraudulent voters are unlikely to vote Conservative or BNP!

No doubt certain voters in Oldham and Burnley in June will be following their established custom of: "Vote Early. Vote Often".

■ "Child porn no Worse than trainspotting"

Scottish National Party Councillor Iain Hunter confessed to Perth police that he was interested in child porn and used his party's council computer to access depraved Internet images - of hundreds illegal pictures of young boys. The disgusting pervert - a former election agent for the SNP's Deputy Leader claimed that his interest was similar to harmless trainspotting.

■ Disabled Migrants Given Priority

The House of Lords has ruled that disabled asylum seekers must be given priority over British citizens in the queue for housing. This astounding judgement arose over the

case of Abedelaziz Mani, a 25-year-old Algerian whose disability is that he has one leg slightly shorter than the other.

Last July, when Mani had appealed against Lambeth Council's rejection of a claim for housing, Lord Justice Simon Brown said it was clear that asylum seekers who are both destitute and disabled had a 'substantially better chance of qualifying for accommodation than their indigenous counterparts'. He admitted that of four asylum seekers who had taken advantage of the loophole, none would have qualified for residential care if they were British citizens.

■ EU Deal to Allow Chinese 'Tour Groups'

Twelve of the 15 EU member states have signed an agreement to allow Chinese tour groups to visit Europe, even though tourist visas are commonly used in people smuggling. Many of the 20 Chinese cockle pickers who drowned in Morecombe Bay are believed to have been illegal immigrants and some are thought to have arrived in Europe on tourist visas before the Chinese gangsters smuggled them into Britain.


Group tours in particular have been used to fly would-be illegals to Eastern Europe, from where they follow the well-trodden path to Britain. The authorities in Croatia are still trying to work out what happened to an authorised group of 34 Chinese tourists who arrived in the country last December and did not return to Zagreb airport for their flight home.

■ 'Islam Will Invade Europe'

Responding to France's ban on the Islamic veil, the new head of the Muslim Brotherhood has asserted Islam ultimately will triumph over Europe and the United States, says a report last month from WorldNetDaily.com

"I have complete faith that Islam will invade Europe and America, because Islam has logic and a mission", said Muhammad Mahdi Othman 'Akef, who recently took over the Egyptian-based movement.

■ Prescott's Homes in Wrong Place



Separated at Birth?

John Prescott's plans to create 500,000 homes in eastern England will see the countryside bulldozed to build the "wrong houses in the wrong place", rural campaigners said last month.

The Government is pushing for the new accommodation to be built mainly on the M11 corridor-covering north London, Stansted and Cambridge. According to Mr Prescott's office, 60 per cent would be built on brownfield sites. These plans have been approved by the East of England regional assembly.

But the Campaign to Protect Rural England said it was unlikely that the houses would be in the lower price category envisaged by the Government. "It looks set to bulldoze tracts of rural England to build the wrong sort of houses in the wrong place and all at huge public expense."

Identity

Editor: John Bean
March 2004

Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971
Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 841,
Balsham, CB1 6WF
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Note: Back issues can only be supplied for a minimum of 3 copies. Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format.
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 8WT.
Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.

Fiddling the Immigration Figures

"Very significant progress has been made to reduce the number of asylum seekers", David Blunkett said last month, as the Home Office trumpeted that the number of people claiming asylum fell by more than 40 per cent last year. A closer examination of immigration figures as a whole would indicate that Blunkett and his master, Blair, would make Machiavelli sound like Mary Poppins.

The Home Office says that in 2003, 61,000 applications for political refugee status were made, compared with the record number of 104,000 in 2002. This apparent decrease in the number of economic refugees has been obtained by the simple expedient of increasing the number of 'work permits' and re-classifying former 'asylum seekers' as 'illegal immigrants'. How else can the Government explain that total immigration figures for the two years have remained much the same?

I am indebted to BNP member Ron Tandy of Norfolk for writing to the UK Visas section of the Foreign and Commonwealth Office and obtaining these figures from a Michael Potter of the Correspondence Section for visas granted in India, Pakistan and Bangladesh. Importantly, they do not include Somaliland, Iraq, Iran, China and Zimbabwe, which currently head the list of asylum seekers coming to Britain.

In 2001/2002, a total of 360,570 visas were granted to applicants in India, Pakistan and Bangladesh. In 2002/2003 the total number granted was 340,243. The asylum seeker figures are *additional* to these, bringing the true total of non-European immigrants to over 400,000 a year! That's creating four new Birminghams every ten years. Is this what New Labour means when it claims it has a policy of "managed immigration"? What Michael Howard has said on immigration would indicate that Tory policy would not be much different. And for those gullible idiots who think voting Lib-Dem will really change anything, all the signs are that they would open Britain's doors even wider.

Then we come to the situation of the new wave of immigration likely to take place on May 1st as the Eastern European countries join the EU. In the first place it is rather insulting to Poles and Czechs, many of whose parents/grandparents fought for Britain during the war - including some excellent Battle of Britain pilots, to compare them to the typical asylum seeker. Nevertheless, although they are fellow Europeans, it would not be in Britain's interests if there was a flood of several hundred thousand seeking better paid employment. This country is full up. Any more immigrants, of whatever race or colour, can only harm our struggling NHS, the housing situation, our general infra-structure and the environment. However, most Poles, Czechs and those from the Baltic States who wanted to come to Britain are already here and working, mainly in the black economy.

The potential threat is from the Roma (gipsies) from the Czech and Slovak republics. Their total number is around 750,000 and a mass exodus is being planned. As working for a living does not really fit in with their culture, UK press investigations have revealed that

they are eager to come to Britain where benefits, including a nice house, are obtained so much easier than in most other EU countries. Germany, France and all the other leading nations of the EU, except Britain, have realised this and have put a block on all immigrants from among

the 73 million people in the new member countries for up to seven years. Primarily as a result of the growing desertion of Labour voters to the BNP, Blunkett and Blair have come up with their latest piece of kidology whereby the new EU citizens, including the Roma, will be free to work here provided they do not claim most welfare benefits (they will be entitled to housing benefit) for at least one year. Let this empty rhetoric fool no one.

The courts will be flooded with legal challenges from liberal-lefty lawyers demanding that Roma children should not be left destitute and denied all the benefits we have worked for.

Getting Away With Treachery

Although he was in reality an American citizen, William Joyce, alias Lord Haw Haw, held a British passport. Because of this, he was hanged in 1946 because his pro-German wartime broadcasts were judged to be an act of treachery. The five so-called 'Britons', in reality British subjects as they were born of Pakistani parents in the UK, who are being released from American detention in Guantanamo Bay, were among the nine captured in former Taliban-controlled areas of Afghanistan. Some of them had actually been fighting our troops. Not one of them, of course, will face the death penalty, which is still on the statute books for 'treachery', as the campaign mounts to treat them as 'misunderstood lads' who had only gone to Afghanistan to carry out 'social work' or bird watching in the Hindu Kush.

It is significant that three of these new 'Britons' lived within a couple of streets of each other in Tipton, West Midlands, and attended the same mosque, where they were apparently brainwashed by the resident imam. If so, he should be deported. This is most unlikely as we have not yet deported the notorious Finsbury Park mosque cleric, Abu Hamza of the hooked hand who has recruited several young men to fight for the Taliban, including Feroz Abbasi, one of the four 'Britons' being held at Camp Delta by the Americans. Let's heed their request and send them Abu Hamza.

John Bean

Editor





THE BEST LAID PLANS ...

By Nick Griffin

Panic, sleaze and own goals as Labour and the Tories lash out at the BNP

It's out in the open at last! The old parties' undemocratic, behind-the-scenes carve up of British politics is now exposed. Top politicians from the Labour government, Conservative official opposition, and the phoney alternative Lib-Dems have been caught out holding secret meetings together to plan how to stop the rise of the British National Party.

The revelations about their self-interested plotting came after the *Yorkshire Post* and *Daily Mirror* published (21st February, 2004) leaked details of a top-level meeting "held just off the M62 last month". Organised by the leftist-capitalist Joseph Rowntree Foundation, the meeting took the form of seminars on aspects of the rapidly growing levels of popular support for the BNP, and discussions on how to deal with the 'problem' of voters at last having a democratic choice.

According to the *Yorkshire Post*, one of the key decisions reached was that the Conservatives should go on the offensive, with Michael Howard launching a twin-track strategy of attacking us while at the same time making 'tough' noises about the asylum crisis in the hope of winning back wavering Tory voters.

TOUGH TIMES AHEAD

What other schemes and ploys were discussed have not yet emerged, but there can be no doubt that the next three months will see an unprecedented campaign of smears, dirty tricks and hate directed against us, as the Powers That Be launch wave after wave of increasingly desperate attempts to delay our breakthrough.

It's a pretty safe bet that those attacks will include not just smears and lies from our usual opponents, but also sensationalist 'testimony' from moles and paid perjurers, vexatious court actions from all sides designed to gobble up funds, the

appearance of inexplicably well-financed 'rivals' trying to split our vote, a cranking up of the divisive rumour-mill which invents material for the gossips and inadequates on our fringes, and violence (as recommended recently in the *Guardian*) against key officials.

But while we must be ready to meet and overcome these challenges, we need not be unduly worried about them. A look at two of the latest attempts to drive us off course - and at how they blew up in their authors' faces - is both instructive and reassuring. These people are starting to panic, and people who panic often make mistakes.

CHAMPAGNE AND SLEAZE

The *New Statesman* magazine is the intellectual mainstay of New Labour. It is owned by Blair crony and paymaster Geoffrey Robinson MP. A typical champagne socialist hypocrite, Robinson is one of the richest men in the government and appears to have most of his colleagues in his pocket. Tony Blair and family have enjoyed luxury holidays in his holiday villa in Tuscany, while Peter Mandelson notoriously received a massive secret loan from him to buy his Notting Hill penthouse flat.

Robinson's status as a pro-Zionist sleazebag is well established. Back in October 2001, he was suspended from Parliament for three weeks after the Standards and Privileges Committee ruled that he had misled the House over his receipt of a huge cheque from disgraced *Mirror* pensions' thief and Mossad asset Robert Maxwell.

Early last month, Robinson's *New Statesman* published as a supposedly true story an account by one Jack Jameson of his adventures the day his car broke down in Welshpool, some 17 miles from my home. The article painted a lurid

picture of this quiet market town as a throwback to Weimar Germany, with the author alleging that he had stumbled into a pub packed with sieg-heiling Nazi sympathisers wearing BNP badges and singing along to Deutschland uber Alles on the jukebox, in a scene straight from the film *Cabaret*.

LIES EXPOSED

Unfortunately for the *New Statesman*, the local newspaper and Landlords' Association took great offence at this tissue of lies, and set about exposing it. In what may well be a 'first', the local Lib-Dem MP, Tory and Lib-Dem Welsh Assembly Members, and me on behalf of the BNP, all joined together to rebut what I was quoted as condemning as "a slur on the town."

New Statesman editor Peter Wilby at first tried to defend his source, claiming that he was a respected journalist with a good track record for accuracy. But, confronted with the evidence that no pub answering to the description in the article even exists, he later had to admit that it was the first piece that Jameson had ever submitted, and issue a grovelling apology.

The row dominated the local newspaper for three whole weeks, and the editor's climbdown and the fact that the story was fiction from start to finish made the national press and regional BBC and ITV news. Our own research has found no trace of a Jack Jameson having ever had anything else published, not just in the *New Statesman* but anywhere else.

It looks very likely, therefore, that the tale was passed to New Labour MP Robinson's magazine by the dirty tricks team at *Searchlight*, probably in the hope of frightening the *New Statesman*'s Labour activist readers into swallowing their revulsion against the Blair regime and turning out to oppose the BNP in



**The BNP policies
are entirely alien
to Britain.**

TWO-FACED TORIES

**The Tories are
committed to a
tougher stand on
immigration
and asylum.**

the run-up to June.

As it turns out, however, the whole affair served only to damage the reputation of *Searchlight*-style anti-BNP 'researchers', and to make not just the editor of the *New Statesman*, but also every other media editor who heard about the failed con-trick, much more wary of similar tall tales in the future.

Add to this the fact that a very large number of voters received an object lesson into how the BNP often faces wholly false smears presented as Gospel truth, and it is clear that the 'Weimar in Wales' story was a significant own goal for its perpetrators and their New Labour allies.

EVEN WORSE

An even worse own goal was scored at the other end of the Establishment political spectrum a couple of weeks later. Michael Howard's big attack on the British National Party in Burnley may turn out to be the biggest mistake in his career-long catalogue of errors.

Advertised in advance as a major attack on Labour's record on asylum, it turned out to be a mere regurgitation of stale old far-left smears against the BNP. Any chance Howard had of winning the support of Middle England with his simultaneous criticism of Labour's record on asylum was wrecked by the combination of the energetic BNP response on the day - pointing out to millions the key facts about the Tory leader's own role in opening the

asylum floodgates in the first place - and his Politically Correct decision to make his speech to an overwhelmingly 'ethnic' audience.

Billed as an attempt "to relaunch the Conservative party in the cities of northern England," appearing surrounded by Asians bussed in from Bradford - on the same day as the imminent return of the "British Taliban" from their US concentration camp hit the headlines - was more akin to a political suicide note than a recipe for revival.

SLANDER

That's not to say that Howard did not say some pretty unpleasant things about us, because he did. Quite a few of the many thousands of law-abiding BNP members he categorised as "thugs" have telephoned or emailed us to ask whether they can sue him for slander and, in a genuinely democratic politico-legal system they would be able to. As it is, however, English law makes it impossible to libel or slander a political party, and as long as more than a dozen individuals are the victims of such an attack, they are held to be unrecognisable and so are unable to sue.

Similarly, while Michael Howard's claim that I have "denied the existence of the Nazi death camps" is an outright lie, the fact is that British justice on matters of defamation is the best that money can buy. To take on a fabulously wealthy lawyer like Howard on his own home ground would be a very

costly error - particularly when I have in the past (and that's precisely where such things must be left) questioned precisely how many people died in those camps, and suggested that their undoubted horror needs to be put into the context of the far greater death toll of Communist terror.

That is not to say that we intend to let Mr. Howard get away with his smears and lies. As already noted in a number of national newspapers, we will pay him back at the next General Election, when the presence of a BNP candidate in his own constituency is very likely to take enough right-wing votes to allow a strong Lib-Dem challenge to unseat him and leave the parliamentary Tory party without a leader. Far from being a potential Prime Minister, Michael Howard will be lucky even to be an MP in 18 months time.

REAL IMPACT

With the same tactic being used against the likes of Oliver Letwin, the Conservatives will go into the next General Election knowing that their Shadow Cabinet is likely to be decimated. For the first time ever, a British nationalist party is in a position to have a major impact on one of our Establishment rivals. We intend to use that leverage to the full and to do everything in our power to leave the Tory party even more intellectually lightweight and left-wing than it is already, thereby opening up for ourselves even more political space for the years ahead.

Three days before Howard's supposed crushing blow in Burnley, we published on our website our prediction that his effort would blow up in his face. As well as throwing down the gauntlet in his own constituency, we pointed out that:

"Howard's own record while Home Secretary in the last Tory government makes it impossible for him to pose with any credibility as someone who might really get tough on the asylum scandal. The man who flooded Britain with more asylum seekers than anyone in British history with the exception of David Blunkett is trying to snatch votes by pledging to cut off their benefits, and by persuading them to



come to Britain as cheap labour instead. This is not going to wash with today's cynical and spin-savvy electorate."

We also predicted that:

"Far from going to Burnley and stealing BNP votes, Howard will merely draw the attention of voters who want to beat Blunkett to the fact that the BNP is the best anti-asylum stick in town. When both the government and the official opposition join together in opposing a new but minor party, its credibility can only skyrocket.

"By showing that the asylum issue our issue - is one which is now in the political mainstream, Howard may inadvertently contribute to a huge leap in the BNP vote on June 10th, resulting in major breakthroughs at both council and European Parliamentary level."

As we all now know, actual events proved these predictions to be absolutely spot on. Howard's 'tough' message on asylum was lost in all the fuss about the BNP, and his attacks on us led to our own spokesmen gaining more airtime - much of it live and uncensored - than in any single day in the party's previous history. The public were left with the clear impression that the British National Party is now at the very centre of the political stage, particularly in the crucial and growing debate about asylum, multiculturalism and the future face of our country.

PROTEST

Under these circumstances, the huge number of voters who want to protest about asylum will have the choice of doing so by voting for a slimy Tory toff who claims to have undergone a Damascene conversion to partial commonsense while simultaneously trumpeting his belief in the cheap labour wonders of immigration; or of voting for the people that everyone knows Blair & Co hate and fear more than any other force in British politics - the British National Party.

There are, of course, still just over three months before the nation goes to the polls. We have a carefully planned schedule of campaigns designed to keep the BNP in the public eye and, as already noted, we

can be sure that our opponents have a large number of spanners and brickbats to throw at us as well.

When it comes to fund-raising, fourteen weeks is unfortunately the blink of an eye. But when it comes to political campaigning, it's a long time, in which some things will go wrong and some things will go right. This campaign isn't going to be a one-sided BNP triumph; it's going to be a fourteen weeks head-to-head political boxing match. We're not on the sidelines any more, we're at the very centre of the ring, trading blow for blow with a constant relay of opponents, working in league to try to grind us down. Anyone who isn't up to taking a few hard knocks in the process is in the wrong party.

EVENTS

But if fourteen weeks is a very long time in politics, it's a positive age when it comes to the great determinant of political fortunes - events. We've got the 'British' Taliban due home, the rumbling crisis in Iraq, the asylum tide mutating into a cheap labour flood, ethnic and religious tensions on the streets, and the steady unravelling of the most corrupt and dishonest administration in modern British political history. Any one of those things could prove to be the last shove needed to push huge numbers of voters now watching our advance with abstract sympathy into actively giving us their support and their votes.

Yet it probably isn't as simple and deterministic as that. When the ballot boxes are all emptied and counted we could just as easily have 16 or 17% of the total vote as 6 or 7%. Even the latter bracket would represent very real progress from our 1.1% average of five years ago, while the former would represent a political earthquake.

Obviously my colleagues and I have discussed what might happen at length as we've been making our plans to maximise the BNP's chances of a truly historic breakthrough. Our conclusion is that there is actually only a very small credibility gap between getting 7 per cent and 17 per cent. The same external circumstances could quite possibly produce either result. The

difference is there to be made by us, by our own actions.

It will be made by those who respond to our appeal for funds by digging into their pockets again even if they've already given 'enough.' The difference will be made by the half-a-dozen or so particularly good local election candidates whose decision to join our record number nationwide will add a crucial degree of extra credibility to our challenge in a couple of key regions.

It will be made by the activists who go the extra mile on those extra evenings over the next six weeks and who thereby recruit the publicans, taxi drivers or Neighbourhood Watch co-ordinators whose word-of-mouth recommendations tip the balance in our favour in a few key council wards. The difference will be made by those who make the effort to turn up and help in the couple of by-elections remaining before June, and in the campaign launch days we'll be holding all over the country in April and May, giving us the electoral and publicity successes we need to convince the public that, with us, they're on to a winner.

HISTORIC SHIFT?

Everyone can feel that something truly historic could happen on June 10th. It's not just us, the same sense of tension and potential sudden shifts in opinion and allegiance can be read in the work of mainstream political commentators in the papers almost every day. As David Aaronovitch - no friend of ours at all - noted in *The Observer* some months ago, "wherever you go, you hear people discussing the possibility of voting for the British National Party."

From places as varied as Newlyn and Eltham, Birmingham, Worcester, Leeds and Rochdale, reports flood in that our activist teams are greeted more like the advance guard of a liberating army than as politicians. Will this enthusiasm translate into votes, or will those sympathisers continue to sit and watch from the sidelines as the countdown to our national destruction ticks away? You can answer for no one but yourself, but your answer might make all the difference.

The New Colonialism And the Destruction of Britain: PART 2

By John Maddox



BRITISH COLONIALISM: Gave more than it took

A brief aside here about 'targets': more often than not these are really quotas packaged in such a way as to get round the Government's own laws forbidding quotas. Earlier this year on its website, the Home Office was boasting of a remarkable achievement: to reflect the fact that ethnic minorities make up 10.4 per cent of the population, the Home Office had set itself the 'target' of recruiting 10.4 per cent of its work force at the Immigration and Nationality Directorate, Lunar Road, Croydon complex from the ethnic minorities. It trumpeted that in an astonishingly short time it had actually achieved 37 per cent, which proved how clear of the cancer of discrimination it was. But quick thinkers will have realised that if the figure of 10.4 per cent reflects the ethnic percentage in British society and any figure below that suggests discrimination against minorities, then 37 per cent vastly overstates

the ethnic percentage and, by definition, discriminates against the indigenous British trying to get work at the Croydon immigration centre and who are, therefore, *under-represented* in the Croydon work force.

Of course, the Home Office website gave no indication that this statistical imbalance would be rapidly corrected by a vigorous campaign to recruit more white workers. Of course not: discrimination is a one-way street in contemporary brow-beaten Britain. It describes the process whereby the indigenous British are corralled off in their own country and forced to give precedence to anyone whose skin is not white, whose first language is often not English and whose culture is not British. Incidentally, how do *you* feel about the fact that this Croydon work force with its 37 per cent ethnic minority composition is taking daily decisions as to which

other ethnic minority people out of the hundreds who besiege their doors each day will be allowed residence in Britain and free access to its health service and benefits system?

ETHNIC CLEANSING

Compared to this new aggressive colonialism which, uniquely, is directed against the British people by our own intellectual and ruling elites, the old British colonialism seems benign indeed! Let me be frank: in talking about the marginalisation and/or assimilation of the British people directed by our own elites, we are talking about ethnic cleansing and ultimately the genocide of the British people as a separate entity, and with them our history and culture, already rapidly dying, anyway, by virtue of their censorship from the educational syllabus and their trivialisation by the mass media. Yes, that is the explicit aim of the same multicultural fanatics who make an international fuss about the possible destruction of tiny Amazonian rain forest tribes at the hands of incomers and settlers!

Why should our intellectual elites be committed to such a goal - after all, they do not actively hate their own people, do they? (pause for knowing silence). Just as likely is that they genuinely believe that in promoting the colonisation of the British Isles by new ethnic and religious groupings, they will produce an entirely new sort of people and consciousness which will take us to new historical heights of compassion, solidarity and



understanding. In other words, as I argued earlier, multiculturalism is the new messianism, taking over from Communism and Socialism. *They* failed on the hard rock of human nature; so will multiculturalism. The grave danger, however, is that before our ruling elite will admit the failure of its new Utopian project, it will have irreparably damaged the British people, our integrity and our future.

This danger is all the more real as there is another less creditable reason for the new colonisation which is now occurring. Elements in our intellectual and ruling elites are so brainwashed about the 'horrors' of British Eighteenth and Nineteenth Century colonialism that they really believe it is appropriate that the British people should now have done to them what it is alleged we did to others in the old colonialism.

NEW COLONIALISM EXAMINED

Here I would like to develop a little more what I said earlier about British colonialism, so as to highlight a crucial difference between the 'old' British colonialism prior to the Twentieth Century and the new multicultural colonialism being inflicted on the British in the Twenty-first Century. And I speak specifically of *British* colonialism; the record of some of our European neighbours - Belgium is a case in point - is far less impressive. Of course, colonialism is a complex phenomenon, driven by a whole range of social and economic developments, and different motivations at the national, entrepreneurial and individual levels. But overall, a defining feature of British colonialism was its avoidance of extremes.

There was a well-known series of cartoons in the 1930s drawn by Pont entitled 'The British Character', which lampooned the tastes and foibles of the British. One of them, called 'Adaptability to Foreign Conditions', shows a group of British in a camp in the middle of the jungle. They wear full evening dress and are playing bridge! Such affectionate portrayals of the British were turned on their head by the multiculturalists who interpreted such things as revealing the imperialistic refusal of the British incomers to adjust to

foreign conditions, hard-headedly enforcing their own standards and customs instead.

But these same multiculturalists now applaud and enforce mass immigration into Britain, regard all calls for the incomers to integrate and adapt to indigenous customs as 'racist' and specifically encourage the incomers to maintain their own customs and belief systems even when they are incompatible with those of the 'host community'.

Hence, the multiculturalists have no problem with polygamy, for instance - or even with polygamous ethnic minority men drawing multiple state benefits for each of their wives. Believe it or not, this is legal in the UK, although polygamy is not! They have no problem with arranged marriages; with West African animist religious customs which produced the scandal of 'Adam' the headless and limbless torso of a young African boy, murdered in black magic rituals and dumped in the River Thames; not even with enforced female child circumcision.

A report produced by Camden Council in London four years ago estimated even then that 1,000 illegal child female circumcisions were being performed every year in that one London borough alone! For the multiculturalists this is a practice, however distasteful, to be policed by the relevant ethnic communities themselves. Even the Church of England General Synod, that hotbed of self-deluded, self-loathing political correctness, refused to condemn the practice for fear a condemnation might infringe on the deep cultural feelings of those who wield the razor blades over terrified pre-pubescent girls.

Indeed, the multiculturalists probably regard the persistent honour killings of young women which scar the Pakistani community in this country as being only slightly over the top, a fascinating if somewhat *outré* cultural practice and merely an internal problem for the Pakistani elders to police and sort out themselves - certainly nothing for the xenophobic indigenous British and our 'institutionally-racist' police force to concern ourselves with.

The British, having achieved control of foreign lands by whatever

method for whatever initial purposes, felt obliged to put in place efficient systems of local administration which furthered the educational, social and economic development of the indigenous populations. These systems leaving largely untouched the local social and religious structures relied crucially on the consent and active participation of the indigenous elites much more so than on military force.

It has often been pointed out just how small the resident British administrative class and the locally stationed military forces were, even as they administered vast swathes of the British Empire at its height. Such a situation could not have obtained without the consent and participation of the local people. And when in the fullness of time that consent was withdrawn, the British made an orderly retreat, leaving in place functioning economies and governing structures for those with the good sense to use them.

Contrast this with the new colonialism which is being foisted on the British in their own homeland. This is a crude colonialism of *numbers*, of the unplanned, unregulated mass immigration - both legal and illegal - of settlers who come specifically *not* to integrate but to set up their expatriate version of their homelands, where their homeland customs, religions and even social organisation will be replicated, to the exclusion and dispossession of the indigenous British population.

ARGUMENT

As with all arguments regarding the human motivations which lie behind large scale historical developments, this argument cannot be over-precise. It could be argued, for instance, that in the case of Australia and Canada, the British imposed large scale immigration on the indigenous tribes. The difference, however, is that Australia and Canada were large and essentially *empty* tracts in which the indigenous tribes had learned to subsist at a very low level of economic and social complexity. Large scale immigration did, indeed, damage and sometimes destroy these tribes and that is regrettable; but the incomers were

ETHNIC COLONISATION: the new face of London's East End



essentially settling virgin territory and *not* crowding into an already packed small island like the UK with, until recently, a settled, well-developed and homogeneous complex culture and society. And, of course, the British and the West in general have tried to make restitution in areas where damage occurred. Does anyone really believe that one day restitution will be offered to the remaining British minority by the new masters of the British Isles?

Hence, parts of West London are now called 'Little India'; areas of East London are now 'Little Bangladesh' and many parts of Midland and northern towns have become 'little Pakistans'. Far from being integrated, these areas are largely mono-racial, the indigenous British population having been swamped and then driven out - in effect, ethnically cleansed - and such areas now function as outposts of the incoming racial or national group, pursuing their own culture and religion *specifically to the exclusion* of the indigenous British culture and with no commitment to the overall

aims and values of British society. Indeed, the specific aim of some of the elites in these foreign cantons planted in the heart of Britain is to expand, to claim more territory, to further their influence on British political, social and religious life and eventually to assume the commanding heights of British society and convert it to their own particular value system and religious beliefs.

BALKANISATION

This is a genuinely aggressive and destructive colonialism which is being deliberately inflicted on the British people by its own ruling elite! And this is, of course, both the origin and the result of the foolish and pernicious policies of such weasel organisations as the Commission for Racial Equality who have abandoned their earlier goal of 'colour-blind' racial integration and, as pointed out earlier, now espouse the logically incoherent goal of Britain as a 'community of communities' which, when analysed, turns out to mean no more

than the Balkanisation of the British Isles pending a final, potentially bloody, struggle to establish which of the new racial and religious groupings will achieve dominance over all the others.

The astonishing thing is that this entire multi-cultural nightmare has sprung up so quickly over the last 40-50 years alone and has been so damaging; promoted and driven by a misguided British elite which, as I noted earlier, claims that there is no such thing as race, but obviously feels that if there is, the British are so uniquely horrible that they deserve all that is now foisted on them by way of mass immigration and enforced integration (which, you will have noticed, only applies to those areas still in British hands, and *not* to the areas taken over by the ethnic minorities). I wonder, do we need historians to analyse this situation or psychiatrists?

All of which brings me to the most difficult question of all: what is to be done about this state of affairs? This I will deal with in the concluding part of my article next month.



Mar 2004



Tory Race Card Tory Con-Trick. Hundreds of these were handed out by three Michael Howard vampires to very receptive passers-by. The reverse side pointed out Howard's shocking record as Home Secretary in the last Tory government, when he let in 156,000 asylum-seekers plus their dependents.

HOWARD'S BURNLEY BLUNDER

Tory leader Michael Howard made one of the worst blunders of his lacklustre career when he decided to "confront the BNP in Burnley." Within hours of his plans being announced, our response was being organised, with banners, placards, leaflets and other publicity material all under production.


By the time Howard and his London spin-doctors rolled into Burnley, we were ready with a political ambush. Within a couple of hours, it was obvious to all concerned that the stunt had blown up in the Conservatives' faces. BNP spokesmen, including Nick Griffin, Burnley councillors Len Starr and Patricia Thompson, and national press officer Dr. Phil Edwards,

enjoyed more airtime than in any single day in the party's entire history. Nick Griffin's live morning appearances on BBC News 24 and Sky TV, amounting to nearly ten and seven minutes respectively, were particularly successful, with Howard's claims and awkward questions from the interviewers all being shot down in flames.

This special ID photo feature captures some of the drama of the day - a day in which imaginative preparation, and the dedication of more than one hundred BNP activists who took time off work to oppose Howard, helped to establish the party even further in the public mind as the new focal point of British politics.



LEFT: One of more than a dozen TV interviews for the BNP Chairman. Media exposure that we couldn't even have dreamt of a few years ago. All thanks to the hard work of BNP activists whose tireless work all over the country has built our reputation as a serious challenger in British politics and an awful miscalculation by politically accident-prone Michael Howard.



Michael Howard's handpicked audience file in to hear their leader speak. One local wag wondered aloud whether it was really a queue of asylum seekers after more handouts?






ABOVE: Just part of the good natured and highly successful British National Party demonstration opposite the hotel where Michael Howard surrounded himself with Asian Tories and delivered his lecture to the voters of Burnley. One BNP leaflet produced for the day listed the massive job cuts which the last Conservative government imposed on the town. The fact that some local shoppers joined our demonstration showed that Howard's party still hasn't been forgiven for its part in the de-industrialisation and multi-culturalisation of Burnley.




LEFT: Civilised and professional. Nick Griffin and the senior officer in charge of the policing of the event discuss arrangements for the day. The police were well aware that BNP demonstrators are always well-behaved, polite and stick to agreements. The officers on duty were courteous and considerate in return.

BELOW: Two of the Michael Howard look-alikes whose presence provided irresistible photo opportunities for almost every national newspaper the following day. The wooden cross was there to indicate our determination to lay this creature of the Tory night to rest at the next General Election, when a BNP candidate in his Kent constituency will take enough 'right-wing' Conservative votes to allow the second-placed Lib Dems to unseat Howard. The parliamentary Tory party will be left doing a headless chicken impression.



British National Party Deputy Chairman Scott McLean provides another face and voice for one of the TV crews. While the majority of our demonstrators came from Burnley itself and immediately neighbouring towns, small groups of activists also travelled from Yorkshire, Merseyside and Scotland to join in the day's fun!





A WASTE OF TIME AND EFFORT

By L.M. Stewart, a retired Police Officer and Conservative voter who has 'had enough'

Liberalism has little genuine following in this country, but certain liberal ideas have become entrenched through the development of an unholy alliance between liberal thinkers, left-wing activists and capitalism in its worst form.

With their aversion to traditional values and all that is normal and natural, the new liberals are a mainstay of this pernicious triumvirate, along with old-style Communists full of class hatred and a concomitant hostility to any suggestion of inherent standards or differences, and (though the first two would seek to deny it) capitalism in its worst aspect of extreme commercialism based on unscrupulousness, selfishness and greed, and all very much at home in a materialistic and increasingly chaotic society.

The power of this coterie has grown due to the acquiescence of those who will always identify with the ruling regime, and unprincipled individuals for whom politics is merely an opportunity for financial gain and social advancement. This power has also grown by default because of the failure of the majority of the population to demand that their representatives uphold British standards and beliefs, and the failure of ordinary Conservative and Labour members to resist the gradual distortion of their parties' policies.

It is true that Parliament has always had an isolated group of Conservative MPs opposing the spread of liberalism, who have been tolerated by the leadership for their value in attracting voters who still hope for a return to traditional values. But their efforts have failed abysmally and the hopes of their supporters have been in vain. It is surely indisputable that, far from any improvement, matters have grown steadily worse as the years go by, and continue to do so exponentially.

Unfortunately, the Conservative, Labour, and Liberal Democrat



HOUSES OF PARLIAMENT: Filled with traitors and liars

parties have massive financial resources, the backing of those that control the media, the advantage of being recognised public institutions, blocks of unthinkingly committed voters, the endorsement of public figures, and the use of government agencies to propagate and enforce ideology, including the police force itself, no matter how hypocritical and undemocratic such tactics may be.

Until recently many people felt that no new movement had any realistic hope of carving a place for itself in modern politics. This being so, it was better to try to reform the system from within, hard though this might be.

PERFORMING THE IMPOSSIBLE

Things have now changed. The British National Party has performed the 'impossible', winning local elections in widely separate parts of the country to the absolute consternation of the established parties. The situation is now reversed: it is 'reform from within' which can be seen as utterly unrealistic, and 'starting afresh' is the

credible alternative.

Over many disheartening decades, the courage and self-sacrifice of a small number of patriots upheld the ideas and principles which made Britain great. Gallantly overcoming lies, distortions, blatant misrepresentations and very real threats to their lives and livelihood, they have made possible the breakthrough into mainstream politics now achieved by the BNP.

It is surely time for those of us who have found every excuse under the sun to sit on the sidelines, to 'get involved'. The British National Party needs a massive influx of members from all walks of life - from working men and women, 'Middle England' and the professional classes, and the titled nobility; from the Highlands, the Lowlands, from Ulster and from the Welsh valleys, from townies and country folk, from young and old, who long for the return of high standards, of pride of nation and race, and of a country with a future.

Established politicians with the interest of their own people at heart and the acumen to recognise the

BNP CAMPAIGN NEWS

As Eurocrats Threaten Our Freedom, British And French Patriots Stand Shoulder-to-Shoulder!



Jean-Marie Le Pen visit to boost BNP election campaign!


Jean-Marie Le Pen, charismatic leader of the French nationalist *Front National*, is to speak at a special campaign fund-raising dinner to be held in the West Midlands on Sunday 25th April. This will be the highlight of a whole weekend of celebrations for St. George's Day, including a big Family Day event organised for London and Essex the day before.

With the *FN* expected to make major gains in the French local elections at the end of March, M. Le Pen's visit should result in a great deal of media interest and act as an ideal launch to our own local and European elections campaign running up to June 10th. The election of MEPs in previous years has been a key factor in the advance of the *Front National*, and Jean-Marie Le Pen and his colleagues are keen to help the British National Party to achieve the same historic breakthrough.

A cordial relationship between the *FN* and the BNP has grown up since M. Le Pen and Nick Griffin held a private meeting in London last year (see photo above), and has just been strengthened by the visit of a BNP delegation to *FN* Members of the European Parliament in Brussels which features overleaf.

Sunday 25th April will, however, be the first public

demonstration of the determination of patriots across Europe to work together in the defence of democracy, our national freedoms and our own versions of our common European ethnic and cultural identity.



This supplement was produced as four pages of the BNP's monthly, full-colour magazine *Identity*. This is Britain's largest circulation and most professional nationalist magazine and is a 'must-read' for all serious patriots. For a 6-issue subscription, please send a cheque for £15 (£17.50 Europe, £24 USA) payable to 'British Heritage' or 'British National Party' to PO Box 841, Balsham, Cambridge, CB1 6WF.

INSIDE: Eight Million More Gypsies On Their Way? Apply For Your Ticket To Le Pen Dinner, Flemish Patriots Meet BNP Delegation, How The BNP Could Benefit From EU Cash

TIDAL WAVE!

8 Million More Gypsies Get The Right To Come To Britain

Most Britons are already aware of the threat of hundreds of thousands of Asiatic gypsies from the Czech Republic and Slovakia pouring into our already overcrowded islands when those countries join the European Union on May 1st this year. But no one outside the BNP yet seems to know that this influx will be a mere ripple compared with what we face three years from now.

In 2007, Romania and Bulgaria will join the ever-expanding federal European superstate. This is already firmly agreed, unlike the accession of Turkey, which is still being arranged. The two countries are host to a staggering EIGHT MILLION Roma and Sinti gypsies, every last one of whom will become an EU citizen with as much 'right' to live, work and vote in Britain as an English, Scottish, Welsh or Irish pensioner who fought for our freedom at Dunkirk or in Normandy!

Conditions in Romania and Bulgaria are so basic that the Eurocrats expect millions of these people to join the many thousands of their relatives who have already arrived here as bogus asylum seekers or cheap labour illegals.

This shocking news was picked up by the BNP delegation to Brussels last month. Just by chance, our one-hour slot sitting in the public gallery of the European Parliament building coincided with the opening of the 2005 EU budget debate. Introducing

the proposals, representatives of the European Commission spilled the beans not just on the coming gypsy flood, but also on how:

-A staggering 900 million Euros of taxpayers' money are to be spent this year alone on aid to gypsy and other immigrant communities in Eastern Europe, in a desperate attempt to persuade them to stay where they are and not to join the great trek to Western Europe generally, and to Soft Touch Britain in particular.

-The European police officers' training school is being expanded and turned into a European institution, formally and openly part of the growing infrastructure of a federal EU state. This is a huge step towards the final abolition of separate nation states, including our own, and towards the creation of a repressive police state capable of holding down resistance to the Eurocrats' scheme by force.

The fact that we were able to glean these three shocking examples of EU lunacy in just one hour is the clearest possible indicator of just how important it is to have on-the-ball British National Party MEPs in Brussels and Strasbourg at the very heart of the Eurobeast. For none of these facts were new to the politicians in the chamber. Britain's Tory and UKIP MEPs will have been aware of these facts and proposals months ago, yet not one of them has spoken out. This bears out the *FN*'s judgement on both parties: "They're absolutely useless!"

GRAVY TRAIN NOT FOR US!

Politicians from all the other parties treat their European Parliamentary positions as a nearly bottomless trough of taxpayers' money. Tories in particular abandon even the pretence of being 'Eurosceptic' as soon as they get on board the gravy-train. A key reason, therefore, for the visit of most of our leading regional list candidates, plus the BNP National Treasurer, was to take the advice of the experienced *Front National* team already there on how much each MEP really gets, in what different ways, and how the money can be used.

The BNP delegation were surprised to learn that, unlike their elected colleagues within France itself, *FN* MEP's keep their entire salary and expenses themselves, largely on account of the disruption caused to other career paths and to their family lives by having to spend so much time away from home, either at Brussels or Strasbourg or in their own vast constituencies.

REASONABLE REWARD

This is not, however, an example which British National Party MEPs will be following. Clearly, to spend four days every month in Strasbourg, and three to four days a week for weeks on end in Brussels is a job which practicalities demand must be reasonably well rewarded. But we must provide a good example and a beacon of hope to voters who believe cynically that "all politicians are the same". We also have a duty to use a worthwhile proportion of the extra income that would come from European representation to provide the organisational advances the BNP needs to save Britain before it is too late.

Accordingly, it has been decided that any and every BNP Member of the European Parliament will donate 40% of their salary, after tax, to the party nationally, so as to pay for additional Head Office staff, and a properly financed legal team. The same proportion of the Attendance Allowance must be donated towards the cost of a full-time organiser in the region in question.

When it comes to MEPs' expenses, the legal position is still extremely unclear, as are the amounts involved, which depend on circumstances over which we have little control. If the more lurid tabloid accounts of the European gravy-train turn out to be true, these will bring in significant sums to each MEP, and arrangements will be made to ensure the party benefits.

BENEFICIAL

The £8,000 per month secretarial expenses which most MEPs give to their wives, mistresses or boyfriends (Lib Dem males especially!), with just a small amount going to some general dogsbody who actually does the work, will be paid by the MEPs to individual workers chosen by the party centrally to do the work for which the money is officially intended.

The party's collective leadership will interview potential candidates for research and secretarial posts (fields in which the closure of gaps in our organisation will be immensely beneficial) and will appoint them on grounds of merit, taking the MEP's own preferences and family circumstances into account but not being bound by them. There will, in short, be no such thing as a 'free' lunch at the party's - or the long-suffering taxpayers' - expense.

"Nationalists of Europe Unite! You have nothing to lose but their chains."

"Some MEPs are more equal than others." This was one of the key revelations from our *FN* hosts in Brussels. What makes all the difference is whether or not one belongs to a formally recognised trans-national group. Parties and MEPs which do not only get more resources but also have the right to propose motions and amendments, and to more places on influential committees.

This is clearly vital if we are to have a serious impact when it comes to defending our national interest and freedoms from the predations of the Eurocrats. A formal alliance can only be created by upwards of 16 MEPs, who must represent at least five different countries.


At present, the five *Front National* members are allied only to two from the Flemish *Vlaams Blok*, and so cannot reach official group status. On June 10th, however, the *FN* hope to increase their representation to perhaps eight MEPs, and the Flemings may gain an extra seat. Meanwhile, negotiations are also going on with other nationalist groupings which stand a good chance of being elected, including in Italy and Poland.



The BNP delegation with French MEPs inside the European Parliament

The election of even just one British National Party MEP would therefore greatly increase the chances of true patriots finally having an effective voice in the European Parliament.

Add this to what we were able to find out in just an hour in the Parliament about the threats posed to Britain by next stage of the EU project, and it is now clearer than ever just how important it is for us to strain every sinew to win in the European Elections on June 10th.



*The BNP Chairman and his wife
request the pleasure of your company at the
Anglo-French Patriotic Dinner
7 p.m. Sunday 25th April, 2004
West Midlands venue to be announced.
Guests of Honour:
Monsieur and Madame Jean-Marie Le Pen.
R.S.V.P.*

I wish to reserve my ticket/s for the April 25th Anglo-French Patriotic Dinner, attended by *Front National* President Jean-Marie Le Pen and British National Party Chairman Nick Griffin.

I enclose £50 single/ £80 double ticket (mixed couples only)

Name:

Name of your guest (if applicable):

Address:

Phone number: E-mail:

I understand that a strict Evening Dress (black tie) code will be enforced, and either possess or will hire suitable attire (please telephone for advice if needed 0870 75 76 267).

Please note that tickets for this unique dinner are sold on a 'First Come, First Served' basis, with sole preference given to Trafalgar Club members, elected BNP councillors, and local unit Organisers and Fund-holders.

IMPORTANT - Euro Fund Appeal - IMPORTANT

Yes! I want to help make a real difference to the BNP's big push for the European Parliamentary elections. Please find enclosed my cheque, payable to 'Election Fund' for:

☐ £10 ☐ £25 ☐ £1000 ☐ £50 ☐ £100

☐ Other:

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 16, York, YO61 3YH

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

new political reality, should 'cross the floor' and join the British National Party (courageously facing the criticism and calumny which will be their fate for some time). Such a step will take courage, but if it is to be done, it must be done without delay, if this country is not to run the risk of irreversible damage from accelerating liberalism. Their support is needed now, when it will be most influential and decisive - not in the years to come when such a contribution may be of minimal value, or no longer needed or welcomed at all (but bearing in mind the calibre of most of those sitting in the House of Commons, I shall not hold my breath).

Until now, there has been no real alternative to the nationwide moaning which has been going on for decades, about "the state of this country." What recourse did the average person have except to fling the newspaper down, or switch off the TV, and later vent his or her exasperation by 'sounding off'? In a democracy, the simple answer should be 'vote for the other side'; but in modern Britain there has been no effective difference for many years between the 'liberal' Labour and Conservative parties, or indeed the Liberal Democrats who have been the sham alternative through the whole sixty-odd years of mismanagement, corruption, and relentless decline.

A very large majority of native British people are sick to death of seeing:

Our country flooded by immigrants;

A legal system presided over by liberal judges and a liberal Crown Prosecution Service, which penalises the law-abiding while cosseting the growing number of vicious, gloating, arrogant criminals;

A Police Force whose low morale and inability to deal with crime is in stark contrast to the energy and enthusiasm with which certain senior appointees enforce 'political correctness' and petty traffic regulations;

Armed Forces run down to a shadow of their former size, their discipline and cohesion under threat, and sent into battle with inadequate protection and lacking vital equipment, from the Falklands to

Iraq;

Health, road and rail transport, and education systems utterly failing to deliver the services required;


The decay of agriculture and industry, fuelled by such actions as the unnecessary import of foreign goods and export of British jobs with contemptuous disregard for the welfare of this nation;

Traditional values such as pride and discipline under daily attack, and bastions of our once decent society such as marriage and the family being deliberately undermined;

Slavish adherence to EU diktats which not only interfere in areas which should be solely for the decision of British representatives, but are often so weird and irrational that they can hardly be believed;

And, most infuriatingly of all, - Conservative and Labour politicians who have shared power between them since the Second World War, swapping backwards and forwards and taking turns to cynically promise all sorts of solutions when 'they' are next back in government - while we all know that not a word can be believed.

Now, at last, there is a REAL and PRACTICAL alternative, the British National Party, whose views reflect



BNP members and supporters at RWB 2003

those of the vast majority of native English, Welsh, Irish and Scottish people.

The Lab/Con/Lib-Dem coalition is desperate to avoid having to explain why their policies have led to the decline of this country in virtually every area of life; and even more desperate to avoid having to say why BNP policy could not be implemented to the enormous advantage of the British nation. So they resort to 'name calling', the final resort of someone with no argument.

If you love your own people and reject the idea of their becoming a

minority in their own country (one prediction gives 2060 as the deadline), you are a 'racist'.

You may hold the firm belief that men and women are entirely equal in value and importance : but dare to state the obvious that some roles are more natural to one sex than the other, or utter opposition to government-sponsored pressure on women to dump their children into 'care' and get out to work as soon as possible (which is not what the majority of working mothers really want, and is clearly wrong for their children), and you are 'sexist.'

'HOMOPHOBIC'

If you believe in the ideal and sanctity of marriage and the family, and reject homosexual cohabitation being legally recognised and even given equal status - you are 'homophobic.'

If you believe in all of these and, in addition, in discipline, order, patriotism, and traditional values, you are the ultimate, a 'fascist.' - a strange conclusion when you consider that probably 99% of our own soldiers, sailors and airmen in the Second World War believed in these values.

Once any of these labels has been attached, the potential BNP voter is expected to recoil, and wearily return to metaphorically (and perhaps even literally) using a pin to select from the three main candidates: Tweedledum, Tweedledee, and Tweedle-in-between.

Fortunately, the undoubted initial success of this ploy is weakening, probably as a result of a combination of circumstances: the appalling consequences of sixty years of liberalism which is penetrating the consciousness of even the least-aware voter; a growing resentment amongst the generally slow-to-anger British public; and the success of the BNP in spreading its message in spite of all the odds stacked against it.

It is a waste of time and effort to cast a vote for the professional politicians who made Britain the mess it now is, and who have neither the interest nor the will to put it right. The British National Party is the only hope. It deserves our full support.



Britain of the near future - a fantasy?

Stan Wolf says we should look to history to find the way to a British resurgence, for the decadence of England today could become even worse and be a repeat of the 11th century scenario

In the winter of 1065 Edward the Confessor, the penultimate Anglo-Saxon king of England, lay dying. An atmosphere of intrigue, malice and cold political ambition hung over the court as rivals fought over who should be the next King. Over in Normandy, 'William the Bastard' had boasted that Edward had promised him the throne of England when he died, yet Harold Godwinson of the powerful Godwinson dynasty was the favourite and he was also the people's choice.

That winter was an exceptionally harsh one, with England being whipped by tremendous gales. Church towers and thatched roofs were knocked down by the violence of the storms, trees were uprooted and many fell onto homes, leaving people dead or injured and homeless. During this hard, bitter winter, two wise monks were supposed to have visited the ailing king and delivered to him a portent. God had forsaken England, they said, because of the wickedness and laziness of its native people. The storms and gales were a sign of this. England was like a tree that had been split in two by a shaft of lightning. Left divided it would die, but if a new green shoot could be found and encouraged to bloom then the two halves could be brought back together again and the country could be saved and handed back to God.

Soon after, Edward died and Harold was made King of England, much to the chagrin of the boastful pretender over the channel. He invented a crude lie that Harold had sworn on the bones of a saint to support him in his claim to be the English king and took this claim to

the Pope, who blessed William with the right to invade England and take what was his. At the same time Tostig, Harold's brother, had sought help from the King of Norway, King Harald Hadrada, one of the most feared fighters of Europe and a giant of a man..

Harold Godwinson expected an invasion from the south, from Normandy, so he waited for William to come, but did not expect the Norwegians to invade and capture York. Thus he had to march northwards collecting men and arms on the way, finally meeting the Norwegians outside York at Stamford Bridge. The English fought like demons, hacking down the invaders and killing their King and the traitor Tostig. After the battle was over the Norwegians only needed eight of the twenty five longboats they had brought with them to return home.


No sooner had the Norwegians been defeated and dispatched than the Normans landed. Exhausted and bloody, the English had to turn around and march south to Hastings and fight the fresh and confident Normans. But this time Harold was unfortunate: although the Saxons fought bravely they were destroyed, Harold being killed in the process, and the Normans were free to conquer England.

William persecuted the native population of this country, reducing its people to serfdom, taxing and abusing them. In Yorkshire, William had his men burn the farms and kill all the livestock, then ordered the slaughter of all of the young boys in that county so they would not grow up to be rebels. Castles went up everywhere to subject and humiliate the Saxons. The Normans imposed feudalism and a French-speaking aristocracy on the English.

The monks' portent was true, because of the personal feud between two brothers, Harold and Tostig Godwinson, the country had been destroyed and its people sold into slavery to a strange and alien intruder.

BRITAIN IN 2066?

Let us go forward a thousand years in time. England in the year 2066. The people of England speak an English which is not the product of this island nation but rather a mixture of Black-American gangster slang and a polyglot argot of the foreign hordes who have now



ASYLUM SEEKERS: The first successful invasion of Britain since 1066



"The native population lives in a state of semi-slavery and is on the verge of extinction."

settled here.

The native population can no longer be sure of a job, because every day new foreigners arrive and the system has been twisted to support them. As soon as they arrive off the boat they are given a house and a job. Millions of these immigrants are heading for the shores of England, tempted by the indolence of the natives, who will not protest when they are sacked from their jobs and turned out of their homes.

On television children watch programmes which uphold the values of what was once considered to be minority groups, while homosexuality is upheld as normal thanks to soap operas. The family as we know it has died. Native girls try to make themselves the partners of the invaders because the native man can no

longer provide for himself. They are abused and humiliated, reduced to sex toys, and at the same time ensure the death of the original native race.

The native population in 2066 have no political rights. The PC brigade has made people too scared to question what is going on. Gangs of invader criminals roam the streets terrorising the country yet the police do nothing, because their numbers are too few and they are underfunded.

Some people have escaped to the countryside but even here there is no sanctuary. Wealthy invaders have brought up all the property and have turned it into their own private playground.

The native population lives in a state of semi-slavery and is on the verge of extinction. Because it degraded itself, it did not take care of its womenfolk nor try to protect its birthright and the culture which produced the ideals of parliamentary democracy and law by jury. It sold itself for instant gratification and allowed the weak to rule, who put their own selfish interests above those of their people. The monks' portent to Edward the Confessor still echos down the ages

Look to our history and from its lessons we might find the answer to our present predicament and the one in which we could well end up.

THE MISSING LINK

Andrew Walker exposes the hypocrisy of the BBC's website

The BNP is getting used to regular coverage in the controlled media, particularly by the Establishment's official mouthpiece, the BBC.

Many readers of this magazine will have visited the Beeb's online news website to catch up on political news about our party. Any illusions in regard to the BBC's much-trumpeted 'fairness' and 'impartiality' will be quickly dispelled by a glance at the Corporation's coverage of BNP news.

Apart from the fact that reports about the British National Party are invariably hostile (often simply a subjective, anti-BNP rant by enemies of the Party), the BBC **never** links to our own website. Conversely, reports concerning the Lib/Lab/Con triumvirate invariably carry links to those parties.

Check out the Beeb's *Politics database* [links to UK political sites](#). This facility sounds impressively democratic until you click on it. It contains the expected links to the Lib-Dems, Labour and Conservatives. It also links to some of the nuttier fringe groups such as the Scottish Socialist Party, the Greens, and even Sinn Fein (a party which has incited thousands of racist murders against British and Protestant Irish people). But the 'database' might more properly be called 'links to **some** UK political sites'. Because, you've guessed it, there is no mention of the BNP, a party which averaged nearly a fifth of the popular vote in the last

local elections and which has 17 elected councillors.

During the 2001 General Election, the BBC pompously declared it would not link to us "on the grounds of taste and decency" (despite being happy to provide the service for the sectarian racists of Sinn Fein, and assorted groups which not even contesting the election). On that occasion, the BNP's legal team swung into action, successfully arguing that the Beeb was in breach of its own Charter, specifically the obligation to impartiality. The link was duly added for May 2001, but only from that specific election web page.

Since the General Election, the BBC has found a different excuse to exclude the BNP from its politics 'database'. It lists only parties "which have won election to the House of Commons, the Scottish Parliament, Welsh Assembly, Greater London Assembly or European Parliament." Local government representation doesn't seem to count as far as the Beeb is concerned.

However, even this pretext will disappear if we win seats in the Greater London Assembly or Strasbourg in June. Will the BBC then carry a link to us on its database? Will it routinely link to us in reports on BNP activities? If not, the left-wing luvvies at Shepherd's Bush should certainly expect the full weight of the law to fall on them.



WE'RE GETTING THERE!

With excitement about our potential in June building all the time, last month saw a number of record collections at local meetings up and down the country.

The most spectacular set of results came during a speaking and activity tour of the south West region by Nick Griffin. Speaking in Bristol, Plymouth and Bournemouth, the party chairman concluded each address with a Dutch Auction. These involve the 'auctioneer' nominating a high figure and asking if anyone is prepared to donate such a sum, then steadily reducing the amount requested as high-bidding donors give all they can afford. The bidders, of course, receive nothing for their money - except big rounds of applause and the satisfaction of knowing that they've done their bit.


Taking into account IOU pledges (to be paid within one month) the relatively small audience of just under 30 people in Bournemouth raised around £650; about 80 members and supporters in Plymouth chipped in more than £3,300; and some 65 enthusiasts in Bristol gave a staggering £5,025.

While in the region, Mr. Griffin was interviewed on the Southwest BBC TV Politics Show in a very useful piece about divisions within UKIP and the woeful failure of that party's leadership to put the good of the broader anti-EU cause before their personal interests.

He also teamed up with local activists for leafleting sessions in Exminster, Brixham, Exeter, Dorchester, Christchurch and Shaftesbury. Add in two campaign



On the campaign trail: South West regional Number One candidate Tony North, and Nick Griffin take a break from leafleting with a local team in historic Brixham. Behind them is a full-size replica of Sir Francis Drake's ship, the Golden Hind. Sailors from ports all along the south coast joined Drake in such plucky little ships to take on and defeat a far bigger Spanish fleet in 1588. That earlier attempt to subject our land to tyranny from the European mainland was beaten back by our forebears. Together we can ensure that future generations can say the same about our own times.




Planning meetings with officials in different parts of this big region, and the trip can only be described as a complete success.

A few days later, at a joint meeting of Oldham and North Manchester BNP, following excellent speeches from various local stalwarts - including Bev Jones, Derek Adams, Gary King and Mick and Pat Treacy - the chairman's Dutch Action broke all North West records by bringing in donations and pledges of more than £5,500.

The BNP Chairman's wife, Jackie, also addressed two meetings in February. Activists in Runcorn and Rochdale gave or pledged more than £2,500 and £1,600 respectively. While some of this money will be used for local election leaflets, at least £3,000 in total is earmarked for the European contest.

With many other local meetings also seeing excellent collections, the Regional Funds in some areas are shooting up, with some of the graphs as always understating the amount raised as our arrangements with the Electoral Commission mean that we can't take into account money raised until it is actually cleared and allocated to the regional fund in question.

Still, we're getting there, so thanks to all concerned, and please keep it up!



A Lot Can Happen in a Month...

Those who read February's Issue of *Identity* may have been somewhat dismayed by the state of the regional Euro funds. This month however, it's a completely different story. Whereas last month only Yorkshire had managed to raise over 50% of the funds required, this month the East Midlands, the South West and Yorkshire have all raised the full amount. Most notably the East Midlands have actually exceeded their target by over £2,000.

The national fund also increased by a massive £15,000 in just one week, if you look at the map of Britain it is clear to see that there has been a huge jump from last month. But whilst these figures are extremely encouraging - there can be no room for complacency - there is still a long way to go, and although there are still twelve weeks to go until the elections themselves, the money for printing and deposits is all needed at least six

weeks before polling day. Time is running out! Huge numbers of people are almost ready to vote for us - but will we be ready and able to show them that we're really serious?

Activists and organisers in the most efficient regions need to remember that their targets were strictly the minimum required - just enough to pay for the most basic election address for each household.

Having met this first target, it is expected that regions will aim higher and go for enough extra funds to pay to upgrade their election addresses and for other items. These could include campaign broadsheets to hand out on Days of Action, adverts in local newspapers, professionally sign-written A-boards to tow behind decorated vehicles, car-mounted loudspeaker systems and similar assets.

Yes! I want to help make a real difference to the BNP's big push for the European Parliamentary elections. Please find enclosed my cheque, payable to 'Election Fund' for:

☐ £10

☐ £25

☐ £1000

☐ £50

☐ £100

☐ Other:

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 16, York, YO61 3YH

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less



John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

Suffolk 'Carrot Crunchers' Vote BNP

With not an asylum seeker to be seen for ten miles, one of the lowest crime rates in Britain, and the only non-white immigrant being the well respected keeper of the local village store, the BNP did well to get 15.2% of the poll in the recent Yoxford by-election for the Suffolk Coastal district council. The Lib-Dems won with 445 votes, the Tories second with 319, and Labour a distant fourth with only 80 votes behind the BNP's 153.

BNP candidate Paul Goodchild, who was born and bred in Suffolk, says that his vote came primarily from the genuine Suffolkers sometimes referred to as 'carrot crunchers' by the townies. Amongst the 'white flighters' who have made their homes in this part of Suffolk, there is an abnormally high proportion of artistic luvvies and category C celebrities who are fond of lecturing us lesser mortals on the advantages of a multiracial Britain but can afford to sneak off home afterwards to rural Suffolk (In the same manner, Alan Rusbridger, editor of the *Guardian*, sneaks off home to Hay-on-Wye on the Welsh Marches.) They all got together in Yoxford, creating much publicity locally and nationally with a campaign to stop the BNP. There was Libby Purves, the long-standing leftie BBC presenter; Peter Purves, former *Blue Peter* presenter (are these pair of political 'Purves' related?); Paul Heiney, another 2nd rank BBC presenter and actors Bill Nighy and Diana Quick.

Paul Goodchild, a sergeant in the TA, said he was making a complaint to the returning officer over the celebrities' intervention as they were not candidates in the election. "Nevertheless", he added, "I am very pleased with the result."


One good thing for democracy is that the publicity generated by the luvvies through their intervention led to a 62% turn out of the electorate - about double the normal poll.

Tony's Flat Mate Appointed Hutton

We will not bore readers with more lengthy comment on the Hutton whitewash report, but who appointed the 'Blair-friendly' Lord Hutton in the first place? Tucked away in a parliamentary report by Frank Johnson in the *Daily Telegraph* was the revelation that Lord Hutton was recommended by Charles Falconer, Tony's old flat mate at university, and now Lord Falconer.

Lord Falconer is Blair's chief hatchet man in the campaign to prise Britain away from its historical roots. This includes the abolition of the seven centuries old post of Lord Chancellor, and expelling the law lords along with the remaining hereditary peers to replace them with a supreme court.

This is, of course, another attack upon our freedom in that it smoothes the path for Government control of the judiciary - shades of Stalinist Russia! This is a classic piece of legislation from a Government of radical lawyers. When Falconer says that it will make our judges "more reflective of society", that is code for



Less than two per cent of Suffolk's population is non-European. Yet this is the multiracial brainwashing put out by Suffolk County Council on the front cover of their quarterly newssheet. The Council is jointly controlled by the Lib-Dems and Labour - Surprise! Surprise!

"more multiracial judges and more New Labour cronyism".

We won't shed tears for the pasting received by the BBC from the Hutton report but how ironic that it should lead to the departure of Chairman Gavin Davies and Director General Greg Dyke, both financial supporters of New Labour! Greg Dyke, who complained that the BBC was "hideously white", was the PC Marxist social engineer who wanted to see the BBC become the world's first "black presenters only" TV channel with white folk relegated to the role of engineers, technicians and planners.

AIDS Soars in Scotland

As in many other parts of Britain, new diagnosis of AIDS soared in Scotland last year, in large part - says the *Scotsman on Sunday* - due to an increase in cases contracted in Africa. But public health authorities refuse to reveal data on whether the disease is being brought back by Scottish travellers or imported by Africans.

While as many as 36 per cent of new AIDS cases in Scotland (56 per cent in Glasgow) were contracted abroad, mostly in Africa, the Scottish Centre for Infection and Environmental Health claims not to know or care how many cases of AIDS have been diagnosed among immigrants and asylum seekers. According to Dr David Goldberg, in charge of monitoring HIV/AIDS at SCIEH, this is due to "the potential for racism, xenophobia, etc", while Dr. Syed Ahmed, a consultant

with the National Health Service in Glasgow, claims that in any case it is often difficult to distinguish indigenous Scots from natives of Africa. What a stupid remark! Everyone knows that Scotsmen wear kilts.

Shona Robison, health spokeswoman for the Scottish Nationalist Party (a misnomer if ever there was), said she believed the greatest danger was that HIV positive immigrants would be further stigmatised by the perception that they were responsible for 'importing' HIV.

Searching for the Roots of Civilization

The West must be eternally grateful to the Arabs for keeping alive much of the civilisation of ancient Greece by preserving its manuscripts when they were being destroyed as 'pagan relics' by the early Christians. We are also told that we are indebted to the Arabs for our numerals. I was therefore interested to read a letter in the *Daily Telegraph* from Martin Stern of Manchester Metropolitan University.

He pointed out that the so-called Arabic numerals were invented by the Hindus and merely transmitted to Europe by the Arabs during their occupation of Spain and Sicily. This becomes obvious when one realises that Arabic is written from right to left yet the numerals are written, even in Arabic texts, from left to right, as was Sanskrit, the literary language of its originators.

A few days after this there was a programme on Channel Four TV on some astounding archaeological finds in Eastern Germany. The principal one of these was an astronomical bronze disk with stars and planets in gold and in the position they occupied in the heavens around 2000 BC. Dating tests were carried out to confirm the age and, equally important, tests on the bronze to show that its copper component was mined in Europe. This turned on its head the accepted belief that the Bronze Age, as with most cultural developments, slowly spread over a millennium or more into Europe from the Middle East and particularly the lands of the Tigris and Euphrates.

This indicates that, in fact, the Bronze Age developed in the East and West at much the same time.

Curiosity aroused, I dusted off a copy of "The Makers of Civilization" by Professor L.A. Waddell, which I had not looked at for years. Written in 1929, and not long after attacked as being inaccurate and even "anti-semitic", the Professor's work - over 600 pages - indicated that all the ancient civilisations were the work of the 'Sumerians', or the original Indo-European (or Indo-Aryan) peoples.

His contention was that the birthplace was Asia Minor (modern day Turkey but nothing to do with the Turks who only arrived around 900 AD), with the 'Sumerian' people moving West, to found both Greek, Celtic and Gothic (Nordic) civilisations and East to found the Indus valley civilisation, from which the Brahmin caste Indians are partly descended. Hence the many similarities of the ancient Sanskrit language of India with the European languages.

It may all sound rather academic, but I think we need to know where our civilization sprang from so that we

may defend it that much more effectively.

Let's Go Dutch

The Dutch are renowned for being liberal minded and tolerant of unorthodox ways of life. However, as reported on our News page last month, an all-party report concludes that its multiracial policy has been a thirty-year failure. So instead of taking New Labour type half-measures, such as issuing work permits to asylum seekers to kid the electorate they are doing something, the Dutch Government has started to kick out the failed asylum seekers.

Initially 26,000 will be stripped of their asylum benefits and ultimately put on aircraft to take them to their homelands. These include Afghans, Somalis, Iraqis and Chechens. The Government has granted an amnesty for 2,300 high-risk asylum cases (fewer than the 8,000 demanded by the Labour opposition) and no one should have an argument with that.

Human rights groups and some Dutch Church groups are, as expected, raising a storm of protest at this action. But the result is that new asylum applications have already dropped by 80 per cent (!) and this positive action has been warmly welcomed in all Dutch working class areas.

Of course, this overdue action taken by the Christian-Democrat/Liberal coalition Government would never have been taken if it was not for the high vote obtained by the murdered Pim Fortuyn's 'stop immigration' movement. Equally, the issue of immigration generally is now at last being discussed in Britain *only* because of the electoral advances made by the BNP. Let us continually remind the people of that.

Immigrants Moving From Burnley

Further proof of the effectiveness of voting BNP comes in a report on Burnley from the Muslim world-wide net site, Aljazeera.Net of 23.02.04. It said that the BNP's electoral success is already leading to some Asian residents to move to other neighbourhoods (the report does not say that there was any violence at all extended to these Asians, and we certainly would not suggest that there should be).

The Aljazeera report gave the example of Tanveer Javed, a 32-year-old computer shop owner who said he was putting his house on the market to move to Manchester.

"The problem is that no white people come into my shop," he said. "I'm the only Asian person who lives on my street and you can feel the racism in the way people look at you. They won't approach you and say hello. They won't even catch your eye. I don't feel wanted or part of the community."

Of course, this remains a pyrrhic victory in that we need to have BNP councillors, if not MPs, in every neighbourhood with the result that many dissatisfied Afro-Asians might then prefer to take up the BNP offer of a financial incentive to return to their native homelands.



Mar 2004

Readers Letters

Speaker's Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 8WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> What the Whites Have Contributed

One of the biggest taboos in our society is the mere suggestion that there might be such a thing as white racial supremacy. It is rarely mentioned even in Nationalist publications, perhaps wisely as the anti-British media will always translate this into hatred towards other races. Yet the truth is glaringly obvious. All modern inventions that we now take for granted, like the motor car, aeroplane, telephone, microwaves, computers and modern medical technology is exclusively the work of peoples who belong to the white race. (The Japanese have refined the manufacture of some of these inventions *Editor*)

This does not mean that all white people are superior to people of other races. Indeed, I have deep respect for many people from ethnic minority backgrounds, some of whom I consider far more intellectually superior to

myself. Also, it does not mean that a racial group has the right to enslave peoples from other tribes they consider inferior, such as the Africans themselves did.

It does not mean that we should encourage hatred, violence and ethnic cleansing of people from other races, as practiced by President Mugabe in Zimbabwe and the race haters who murdered Gavin Hopley. It simply means that however much some people find reality uncomfortable, we should always bear in mind the truth and accept this as being a reason why in many professions in Britain there is seen to be a limited number of peoples from ethnic minorities.

Steve Charlesworth
e-mail

>> Thank You Mr Howard

After listening to Michael Howard's unbelievably immature attack on the British National Party during his address on February 19th at Burnley, I vote he should be put on the BNP's payroll. Such publicity is a gift from the Gods. Priceless! Has he no idea how spin-wise the British public has become? And how much we distrust every word uttered by mainstream politicians?

Contrary to rumours, he did *not* shoot himself in the foot. He amputated both legs.

Mrs I. Malcomson
Hampshire

>> Ready For The Roma

Lately I have seen a spate of TV presenters and selected interviewees chattering about how

the press is 'whipping up' immigration fears, especially in regard to the imminent surge of Roma (gypsies). "It's irresponsible" they say, and the BNP will "exploit" these fears to dupe the silly British public. What they really mean and hate because it is true is that only the BNP will address these genuine and reasonable fears and are willing to say: "No, they should not come here".

As regards the Roma I heard simple Simon Hughes waffling that they simply won't 'up sticks' and come. All I can say is that is typical liberal naïve garbage. I have visited Hungary, Poland and the Czech Republic many times in the 1990s and one of the things that stood out were the Roma. There they are seen as little more than a nuisance and these countries will be only too glad to see them leave for Britain. Indeed, the locals chasing gypsy beggars and hustlers away from tourists was a

constant and unpleasant sight, begging being the main occupation of the Roma.

I am sure Blair and the generous British Government will welcome them with open arms and open wallets. It's not their money after all, is it?

L. Watts
Lisse, Netherlands

>> Employers Reject PC Robots


Given the recent persecution of Nationalists in their chosen careers (see letter from R.D. Wolstenholme in January's *ID*), we can take great heart from recent supportive calls to the BNP. Several employers have pledged not to recruit anybody suspected of being a PC robot and/or sympathiser or member of the Labour Party or any of its troglodytes.

One employer advises that she

21



Photo above shows London members demonstrating for an inquiry into the police failure to solve the murder of a victim of racial violence in Oldham who the media ignore. Later, the BNP team went on to protest outside the offices of the National Union of Journalists whose staff jeered and whistled during the call for a minute's silence in memory of Gavin Hopley.



Another Councillor Joins The BNP

In a move which has taken the city of Stoke by surprise, the British National Party gained one new councillor last month. Stoke City Councillor Barry

Cuthbertson (centre in above photo) took a brave and bold step to cross over to the BNP.

Cllr. Cuthbertson who represents the Norton and Bradeley Ward joins Cllr. Steve Batkin (left in above photo) from the Longton North ward to form a BNP Group. The BNP welcomes Cllr. Cuthbertson and will give him our fullest assistance in his work.

15.2% in Rural Suffolk

February saw Paul Goodchild push Labour to the bottom of the poll and gaining almost double Labour's vote in a by-election for Suffolk Coastal District Council. Our first attempt in rural Suffolk. See *John Bean's Nationalist Notebook* for details.

BNP Croydon Gains Support on Housing

Members from the BNP Croydon Branch were invited to attend a packed public meeting held by Monks Orchard Residents' Association (MORA) at the end of January. It was called to discuss a development of 14 new four-bedroomed homes in Shirley, which have been bought by an ethnic minority-housing group. Residents fear that Croydon Council has earmarked these large houses for asylum seeker families.

Julian Leppert, BNP candidate for London Mayor, and Bob Gertner, BNP Croydon Branch Organiser, were prominent among the many BNP people present speaking up for the local residents.

Bob Akers, MORA chairman, told the *Croydon Advertiser* that the BNP was gaining the support of

residents because they felt their concerns weren't being addressed. He added: "The atmosphere at the meeting was electric. I think that the BNP is talking the language residents understand."

BNP Chairman Raises Thousands

In a tour of the South West of England BNP Chairman Nick Griffin raised more than £10,000 for election funds in a series of Dutch auctions at party meetings. Bristol, which has been a hive of activity of late, with thousands of leaflets distributed, were particularly generous.

'Polite and Well-behaved' says Police Inspector

Last month saw about 30 BNP members upstage a left-wing demonstration in support of asylum seekers outside the *Daily Mail* offices in Kensington. West London. Inspector Lee Padget who headed the police contingent at the event, said of the BNP: "They were polite and well-behaved" - he was quoted as saying on the front page article of *Kensington & Chelsea Post*.

The BNP Pimlico and Chelsea organiser, Bob Andrews, has put out several thousand leaflets on estates in the area.

Caroline Lainge and Marie Hughes were two of the London and Bristol BNP members who supported last month's march and demo in Central




London by pensioners against the rising Council Tax. Great interest was aroused by the BNP leaflets distributed by our pensioner members. On the way back the Bristol contingent received a phone call asking if we could provide a speaker for the next meeting of a pensioners' group.

MUST-READ: The Black Book of Communism

The extreme left and gutter tabloids, such as the *Express* and *Mirror*, appear to take great joy in labelling the British National Party and its supporters as 'Nazis' or 'fascists'. As the British National Party grows stronger and continues to win more support throughout the country, these silly tags continue to lose their effect, mainly because people are starting to realise that these 'buzz-words' cannot seriously be used to describe a party with such a broad base of support as the British National Party. Nevertheless, in the twisted minds of the liberal-left Establishment in Britain, a 'Nazi' or a 'fascist' is the most disgraceful thing a person could be.

By 'Nazi' of course they are not attempting to say that our members and supporters are card-carrying members of the German NSDAP from the 1920s-1945, but merely that we have views that they disagree with. In Blair's Britain, this is all that it takes to be tarnished with this most over-used of labels. Burglars, paedophiles and rapists are all worthy human beings to the liberal-left: People who simply need rehabilitation and counselling, and for whose actions society at large is to blame. Yet if you are a thought-criminal and therefore a 'Nazi', you are a reprehensible human being for whom the Establishment has no tolerance.

Yet there is a political doctrine that has proven to be more brutal and responsible for more genocide than every fascist and vaguely nationalistic regime in modern history combined. That doctrine, as should come as no surprise to all nationalists reading this article, is Communism. The crimes of Communism are glossed over, ignored, and downright denied by the liberal-left Establishment in Blair's Britain, and it is clear to see why. If the facts about Communism were known and understood by the British population at large, the liberal-left would be shown to be utter hypocrites in labelling everyone they disagree with as 'Nazis', while at the same time basing much of their ideology on an even more brutal, culture-destroying ideology. The term 'Nazi' would be proven to be a worthless tag, used by people who are unable to debate sensibly about politics, and must instead resort to screaming insults at their political opponents. Clearly the sooner that day comes, the better.



The Black Book of Communism by **Stéphane Courtois et al** is translated by Harvard University Press. A team of French historians chronicles the crimes of Communism wherever it has attained power in the world. The number of victims it has left behind boggles the mind, and these facts are not being taught in Britain's universities or rarely even in our high schools. The authors put the death toll at between 85 and 100 million, though many patriots have put the number higher. This book boldly exposes the crimes of the most destructive and brutal political ideology ever devised by man. It became a bestseller in France when it was first published in 1997. 1999, Hb.

£33.00 (inc. £5 P&P)

Excalibur

Both the book above and the pin badges on the left are available from Excalibur.

Please make all cheques or postal orders payable to "Excalibur".

Send orders to: PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW.

Phone: 07919 384 924

Web: www.excaliburheritage.com

Pin Badges



£2.50 each
(Inc 50p P&P)



SUBSCRIBE TO IDENTITY

Identity is the monthly, full-colour magazine of the British National Party. By subscribing, you will receive the latest articles from the best nationalist writers, as well as the latest party news, developments and initiatives. All it costs is less than 58p per wk!

The following rates are for 6 issues (please tick box as applicable): British Isles £15 ☐ Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐

Unsealed air mail Middle East, N & S America £24 ☐

Unsealed airmail Australasia, Far East £25 ☐

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name:

Address:

Postcode:

Telephone:

Email:

All cheques etc should be made payable to British National Party or British Heritage and sent to PO Box 841, Balsham, Cambridge CB1 6WF. If you have any queries, please call us on 0870 7573 267. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk order rates:

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81; 90; £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage — £1.05 for 5, £1.94 for 10, £4.05 for 15 - 20, £4.34 for 25 - 30, £5.19 for 40 - 50

EURO FUND APPEAL

Please give generously and send to:-
PO Box 16, York YO61 3YH

The BNP must raise a total of £200,000 to contest, even at a basic level, the forthcoming European Election. You can use this form if you don't want to cut up your copy of *Identity*. Please help the British National Party by sending as much as you can possibly afford now to the address at top:- £1,000 ☐ £500 ☐ £100 ☐ £50 ☐ £25 ☐ £10 ☐ £other ☐ (please state amount)

NAME:

ADDRESS:

Please make cheques/P.O.s payable to 'British National Party'. Do send a S.A.E. if wanting a receipt for less than £25

----- ✂ ----- ✂ -----

Anglo-French Patriotic dinner

Write to:- PO Box 14,
Welshpool, Powys SY21 0WE

Yes, I would like to attend the Anglo-French patriotic dinner with the Chairman and his wife of both the British National Party and the *Front National*. I enclose £50 for a single/£80 double ticket (mixed couples only). Please make cheques/P.O.s payable to 'Trafalgar Club'. Remember, this is a black tie occasion.

NAME:

NAME OF GUEST (if applicable):

ADDRESS:

Tel: Email: